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The article considers versions of chronological dating of the history of Courland’s colonialism, widespread in historiography. Sources of these versions were found and analysed. It is concluded that an overwhelming majority of assumptions about the time of beginning and end of the history of the Duchy of Courland’s colonial policy are imaginary, and rely on questionable secondary historical sources. At the same time, their predominance in relevant historical and popular literature contributes to mythologization of this issue which still takes an important place in the historical memory of the Latvians.
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INTRODUCTION

The colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland and Semigallia (Duchy of Courland or simply Courland in short forms) plays an important role in the historical memory of the Latvian people. The Island of Tobago, where there was a Courlandian settlement in the second half of the 17th century for a while, is an important place of memory for the Latvians literally from the moment of the emergence of an independent Latvian state after the First World War. Up to now, this faraway Caribbean island
is perceived as a “promised land” in the historical memory of
the Latvians, where their ancestors could gain freedom from
serfdom and foreign domination, and also achieve prosperity
turning from slaves into slaveholders, from the colonised into
colonisers. American researcher Harry Merritt noticed that
“with the widespread perception in Latvia that the country was
a victim of colonialism under Soviet rule, the existence of a his-
torical ‘Latvian’ colony helps to mitigate the negative post-
colonial feelings of the present”.¹ The colonial episode of Cour-
landian history had a completely different meaning for German
historiography that declared it the first example of a “German
colonial feat” capable of justifying the “eternal” German expan-
sionism at the beginning of the Second World War.² Recently,
interest in the history of Courland’s colonialism arose in some
countries whose “ancestors” had an indirect relationship to the
Duchy. In 1994, the Polish historian Dariusz Kołodziejczyk
wondered, “whether the colony of my vassal is my colony?”.³
Having resolved this “dilemma” in their own favour, some Polish
publicists stated that “apart from Poland from sea to sea, there
was also one that spread overseas”, since “all the territories en-
riched by the vassals of the Polish–Lithuanian Commonwealth
also found themselves in its authorities”.⁴ Relying on the same
argument, the Belarusian press recently joined the struggle for
the Courland’s “colonial heritage”.⁵

As we can see, the “ownerless” history of Courland’s coloni-
alism (in contrast to the former powerful metropolises like Por-
tugal, Spain, the Netherlands, England, or France, Courland did
not leave behind direct political heirs) became a useful instru-
ment for a number of modern nations to justify their imperial
ambitions, or to overcome their “post-colonial syndrome”.⁶ At
the same time, this episode of Courlandian history is covered
with myths like no other. This can be explained to a large extent
by the fact that the “colonial legend” for the Latvians and other
peoples is based on the historical studies that are now outdated
or prepared at a low scientific level (by modern standards), and in any case contradict each other. A thoughtful reader from the first steps faces controversial moments in the history of Courland’s colonialism connected with its dating. The aim of this article is to try to identify the lower and upper chronological boundaries in the history of the colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland. This step seems necessary in an effort to clear up the numerous mythological layers of this issue, because the history of any phenomenon begins with its dating.

It should be noted that the colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland will be considered in this article in two senses – broad and narrow. In the first case, it is about traces of participation (or even intentions) of Courland’s rulers and their successors in colonial trade (even with colonies of other countries) and diplomatic projects concerning Courlandian overseas possessions. In the second case, it is about Courland’s colonies themselves on the mouth of the Gambia River in West Africa and on the Island of Tobago in the Caribbean. In the context of this article, the term “colonialism/colonial policy” includes the activities of a state to establish settlements/colonies on a distant territory with a view to trade and/or planting tropical crops and other goods there. Accordingly, the “colony” is a settlement whose “foreign masters maintain a strong connection with their spatially distant ‘homeland’ or imperial centre, which claims the exclusive right to ‘possess’ a colony”. The following research methods have been used:

1) determination of the lower and upper chronological boundaries of the history of Courland’s colonial policy spread in historiography;
2) identification of the sources of these versions; and
3) verification of the information from these sources on the basis of primary sources available to us.
LOWER CHRONOLOGICAL BOUNDARY

The criterion for distinguishing the lower chronological boundary of the phenomenon under consideration is the first traces of Courland's participation in colonial trade and in creation of overseas colonies. The key issue here is the question of when Tobago was purchased and first colonised by the Courlanders. In historiography, two approaches to the dating of this event were formed based on the surviving evidence. We conditionally divided them into “early” and “late”.

As for the “early” versions of the beginning of the history of Courland’s colonialism, there are no direct sources, only secondary ones created much later than the events described in them happened. Within the framework of this approach, the following dates of the acquisition and first occupation of Tobago by the Courlanders are highlighted:

1610 – James I of England (years of reign 1603–1625) presented his godson, the Courlandian Prince Jacob Kettler (1610–1681), with Tobago as a christening gift. This version spread in historiography after the corresponding message of the Courlandian diplomat Johann Christoph Praetorius. It is given in his book, first published, apparently, in 1703 in the Hague⁹, or in 1706 in Limbourg¹⁰, but accessible to us only as a later edition of 1727 published in Groningen in Latin.¹¹ The author justifies his conviction about the truth of this version of the acquisition of rights to Tobago by the Courlandian throne with reference to the notice of the English businessman and naval captain John Poyntz (1606 – between 1702 and 1716, or 1630–1712) to the Lords of Trade and Plantations from 18 November 1699.¹² In 1681, he concluded an agreement with Duke Jacob regarding the re-establishment of the colony on this island.¹³ In his report, the named adventurer promises to inform the Lords “what he knows of the Island of Tobago, from the first grand of King James the first, to James Duke of Courland, until this teime”.¹⁴
Additionally, in his memorial to the Lords from 27 November 1699, Captain Poyntz states that “King James and the then Duke of Courland married Ann and Mary, the two Princesses of Denmark, and King James being godfather to the young Prince of Courland, he bore his name, and the Duke his father desired to purchase of King James in the name of his son James, the young Prince, the island of Tobago, to be held and enjoyed under the protection of the Crown of England. Whereon the Earl of Warwick sold his interest in Tobago to Prince James, after Duke of Courland, who thereon sent a squadron of ships and took the possession of Tobago”. As we can see, he does not say directly that this island was presented to Prince Jacob by James I as the christening gift. It seems that Proyntz’s information was transformed in Praetorius’ work. The Courlandian diplomat wished to link logically the acquisition of rights to Tobago by the ducal house directly with the English throne as the supreme owner of all the overseas possessions of Britain. It must be taken into consideration that his book was created to justify the claims of the under-age Courlandian Prince Friedrich Wilhelm (1692–1711) to the said island. Thus, its author was ready to distort his own sources for the sake of his apologetic intention. Praetorius argued, moreover, that in the ducal archives the confirmation of James’ I “gift certificate” was kept, which was issued allegedly by Oliver Cromwell in 1652. In such a form, this version spread in the 19th-century German historiography and from there transferred to the works of some later researchers.

However, a very convincing criticism of this approach was given already in 1939 by the German historian Otto Heinz Mattiesen. According to his observations, not a single mention of this grant can be found within the richest documentary material for the initial period of the reign of Duke Jacob (1641–1654). In addition, it is obvious that this ruler, impetuously seeking to establish sea trade and acquire colonies, would have started the
colonisation of Tobago as soon as possible, if he received the island from James I, rather than waiting until 1654 when he suddenly occupied it in fact. After all, if this episode took place, Jacob would have spoken about it constantly and publicly in his usual manner in the course of lengthy disputes over the island which began after 1660, which, however, did not happen. The confirmation of Jacob’s rights to Tobago in 1652 also seems impossible. Firstly, the relations between Courland and the Commonwealth of England were still bad in 1652, which is proved by the arrest of two Courlandian ships by England in the same year. Secondly, if Jacob had obtained the rights to Tobago in 1652 or earlier he would not have delayed for another year with its colonisation knowing, moreover, that other powers are laying claim to it. Thirdly, Mattiesen was unable to find the abovementioned confirmation from the Lord Protector in the ducal archives, and also no mention of Tobago is to be found in the negotiating acts with England of that time. 

No traces of this document have been found in the English archives as well. Praetorius probably had in mind the treaty on neutrality and protection between Jacob and Cromwell, concluded in 1654, which does not mention Tobago. It was already said about his interest in the artificial deepening of Courland’s colonial history until 1610. Poyntz’s statement made to the Lords of Trade and Plantations could be explained by similar motives. This adventurer who laid claim to Tobago throughout his life also sought to make the Courlandian throne, with which he once concluded an agreement on the concession to him of lands on this island, one of the first of its legitimate owners. In turn, Poyntz’s message on the sale of rights to the island by the Earl of Warwick (Robert Rich (1587–1658) is to be understood under this title) has chronological discrepancies in connection with the mention of James I: the Earl of Warwick was finally recognised as the only patent holder for a number of islands in the West Indies, including Tobago, only in 1639 by
Charles I of England (years of reign 1625–1649)\textsuperscript{22}, i.e. 14 years after the death of James I. After all, at the time of submitting his report, Poyntz was an old man (69 or 93 years old), and his thoughts could be confused. Thus, this version does not correspond to historical reality in any way, but is Poyntz’s and Praetorius’ fiction invented to serve their selfish interests.

\textit{1634 or 1639} – the first Courlandian colonial expedition to Tobago. This hypothesis ascends to the message of the English adventurer and naval commander Major John Scott (1632–1704) which he left in his manuscript dedicated to the history of this island, among other lands in America (Guiana, Barbados), and written about 1667.\textsuperscript{23} In 1925, his work, now stored in the British Museum, was published.\textsuperscript{24} Scott says that “the Duke of Corland Anno 1634 sent a ship thither [to Tobago. – O. Ye.] accommodated with Trade to buy it of the Indians, and to take possession on it in his Right. Being before this Sufficiently informed of their inclinations to Trade with the Dutch or English, he purchased it and the Natiues gaue him a cleare possession […] These people [Courlandian colonists. – O. Ye.] being new hands, as they phrase them in those parts, and haueing noe experienced Planters in their Collonie, and people that came soe farr from the Northward and not any amongst them that knew what was food or Phisick in their proper Seasons, did occassion their mouldring to nothing, 212 men”.\textsuperscript{25} This version has gained popularity in historiography.\textsuperscript{26} In the works of some later authors, the existence of that “first” Courlandian colony on Tobago was automatically brought to the next Scott’s date associated with the unsuccessful expedition of the English to the island in 1639.\textsuperscript{27} Other researchers questioned the correctness of reading Major’s manuscript. According to the Latvian historian Edgars Dunsdorfs, Scott’s “1634” can be easily confused with “1639”.\textsuperscript{28} The scientist himself, however, was inclined to the first variant. He was not embarrassed that Jacob Kettler was not even a duke at the time. He openly wrote that his uncle Friedrich
(years of reign 1616–1642) could create that colony, but this statement does not agree with the well-known facts about the reign of the latter. Under the pen of the third authors, “1634” inexplicably turned into “1637”.

Compilers of the publication of Scott’s manuscript emphasised the impossibility of Courlandian expedition up to 1639. Following them, a number of researchers cited this year as the date of beginning of the colonial history of the Duchy. Despite these contradictions, the leading Latvian historian of Courland’s colonialism Edgars Andersons (1920–1989) insisted on 1634. In his view, absence of other reports of this “illegal” enterprise can be explained by Jacob’s desire to hide it from his competitors in the colonial arena (English, Dutch, and Spanish). In turn, 212 colonists mentioned above allegedly could be sent to the island for money given to Jacob by the Amsterdam banker Selio Marselis with whom the young Prince established contacts during his educational and diplomatic travel through Europe, which began just in 1634. However, according to Mattiesen’s archival researches, Marselis helped Jacob only in sending a chartered ship from Courland in an uncertain direction (“to the west”), presumably within Europe. Additional “contemporary” sources cited by Andersons in no way confirm Scott’s message.

The second Courlandian colony on Tobago was dated by the English Major 1642–1650. Many later historians accepted this dating uncritically and unconditionally (in contrast to doubts about the “first” expedition in the 1630s). For some of them who trusted Scott, it was the second expedition, for others who doubted the truth of latter’s information about 1634/1639, it became the first one. In any case, the contradictory statements of this English adventurer cannot be taken as gospel truth since they are not supported by any other sources, and considering that his manuscript was prepared at least 33 years after the date of the “first” Courlandian expedition to Tobago specified by him. It is unclear what sources exactly did Scott use. We can
assume that among them there was the book of the French pastor Charles de Rochefort (1605–1683) about the Antilles first published in 1658 and well-known in those days. It states that “about 30 years ago” 200 colonists from Vlissingen in Zeeland established a settlement on Tobago.40 It is likely that Scott who could use the English edition of this book of 1666 and sought to give his own work greater “reliability” by bringing in it more “detailed” information turned “30 years ago” into the middle of 1630s (namely 1634), and 200 colonists from Zeeland into 212 colonists from Courland. He, as the English naval officer who fought then against the Netherlands, could be interested in making the first (after the Spaniards) settlers on Tobago anyone but his enemies.41 In the end, it is difficult to imagine that the young Prince Jacob who had not yet taken the throne and who just began to deploy his maritime policy within Europe in the late 1630s suddenly got himself into a colonial adventure, for some inexplicable reasons exactly with Tobago.

1638 – Duke Jacob acquired rights to Tobago from the Earl of Warwick which marked the beginning of Courland’s colonial policy. This date is given by the Dutch historian W. R. Menkman without any argumentation or references.42 As we have noticed above, the Earl of Warwick became a rightful Tobago proprietor only in 1639.

1640 or 1642 – the first Courlandian colonial expedition to Tobago. These dates have spread in historiography from Praetorius’ book. It is important to note that the text itself mentions “1642”43, and corrections (In textu Corrigenda) to the edition of 1727 – “1640”. Courlandian diplomat explains the first date (1642) by reference to the famous work of Alexandre Olivier Exquemelin (ca. 1645–1707), but we cannot find there the corresponding message.44 The second date (1640) is not explained in any way. It is likely that Praetorius relied on the opinion of his predecessor in the restitution of the Courlandian colony on Tobago with whom he was in close contact, Baron Karl Johann
von Blomberg. In 1700, the latter announced to the representative of the Lords of Trade and Plantations, diplomat George Stepney (1663–1707), that Jacob Kettler has first discovered this uninhabited island about 1640, built a fort there, populated it with colonists, and subsequently owned it for many years as an absolute demesne. Obviously, Blomberg’s information cannot be reliable. It was in his own interests to justify his masters’ claims to Tobago by “making” them first legitimate owners of the island. Despite the doubtfulness and contradictory nature of Praetorius’ information, it formed the basis of a series of studies on the Courland’s colonial history: meticulous authors used the version of “1640”, the less attentive historians (overwhelming majority) of “1642”.

Middle of the 1640s – Duke Jacob purchased the Island of Tobago from the Earl of Warwick as well as two small islands in the mouth of the Gambia River from chief of the African Barra tribe. One of the first researchers of Courland’s colonialism, the German historian Hugo Sewigh, brings this incorrect information without any argumentation.

Years of reign of Charles I of England (1625–1649) – Duke Jacob received Tobago from the named monarch as refund for supplying him during the English Civil War. As we can see, this “safe” but worthless hypothesis unites and, at the same time, neutralizes all the contradictory versions mentioned above.

The “late” approach to the problem of dating of the first Courlandian colony on Tobago is based on reliable primary sources which are also confirmed by other evidence. It asserts that the first documented Jacob’s colonial expedition arrived on Tobago on 20 May 1654. At the same time, the date of acquisition of the said island by the Courland’s ruler remains controversial due to the lack of direct sources on this issue. Some researchers stated that Jacob purchased the rights to Tobago from the Earl of Warwick before 1652, or specifically between 1645 and 1647. However, Mattiesen’s point of view seems to be the
most reasoned one. According to him, a deal between Jacob and the Earl of Warwick who wished to get rid of his useless “property” could be concluded in September 1653. But the Courland’s ruler did not pay the agreed amount to the Earl of Warwick. He knew that the latter had no authority to sell the island which was a fief obtained from Charles I who had already passed away by that time. Hence, nobody could dispute this transatlantic possession. At the same time, Jacob got valuable information – Tobago was not occupied by anybody from Europeans. Hereupon he immediately equipped his first colonial expedition there. The fact of “violent annexation” of Earl of Warwick’s “property” by the Duke caused the absence of documentation about their “deal”. This version, in contrast to those mentioned above, is consistent with information on the activities of other personalities (in particular, the Duke’s agent in London Philipp Freher) as well as with the internal logic of development of Courland’s colonialism.

From this, it becomes clear that Jacob established his colony in West Africa earlier than in the West Indies. The date of this event is easily defined by primary sources: Courlandian ships reached the mouth of the Gambia River in late October 1651. There, on the St. Andrew’s Island (present-day Kunta Kinteh Island), the Courlandian fort was built. In this light, assumptions of some 19th-century historians that Jacob’s colony in Gambia was established between 1638 and 1642 seem incorrect.

However, the first traces of overseas activity of the Courlanders are not directly related to the establishing of colonies in Gambia (1651) and on the Island of Tobago (1654):

1627, 1629, 1630, 1642, 1645, 1653 – the first four dates relate to the doubtful episodes discovered by Andersons. These are: the colonial offer of the founder of the Dutch and Swedish West India Companies Willem Usselincx to Duke Friedrich Kettler (1627), the diplomatic activity of the English Ambassador in Warsaw Thomas Roe who could tell the Kettlers about Tobago
(1629–1630), Courlandian officer Joachim Deniger von Olinda's participation in the military action in Brazil on the Dutch side, he also could tell the Duke about the wealth of the West Indies (1630), Duke Jacob's invitation to Charles I to take part in some kind of dark trade, probably of a colonial nature (1642). However, even if we admit the reality of these hypothetical episodes, they are of little interest since they were of a private and accidental nature and did not affect further Courland's colonial policy. Primary sources found by Andersons in the National Archives of the Netherlands in the Hague and in the collection of documents of the British statesman John Thurloe (1616–1668) are much more worthwhile. They prove the fact of Duke Jacob's participation in the triangular trade between Europe, Guinea, and the West Indies in July 1645 and April 1653. In the first case, it is about the Courlandian ruler's ship “De Hoop” (apparently, it was built specially for him in Dutch Zaandam). Under the Dutch command, this vessel was sent by Jacob from Amsterdam to the Grain Coast (present-day Liberia) to purchase ivory and melegueta pepper for European goods. This cargo was then carried to the West Indies (the ship was seen near the French coast of the Saint Martin Island in July 1645). A load of tropical timber was taken there for the return journey to Europe (apparently, back to Amsterdam). In the second case, we read about the ship “Der Leopard” (it was built most likely in Courland). For Jacob's money, but again under the Dutch command, it was sent from Courland to Amsterdam in April 1653. The vessel was loaded there by Duke's agents with copper ingots and other items for delivery to Guinea where this cargo was sold out, and the empty holds were filled with slaves. Hereupon, slaves were taken to the Martinique Island where a small amount of certain goods was taken for the return journey to Amsterdam.  

1643 – negotiations between Courlandian Ambassador Georg von Fircks and the authorities of France and the
Netherlands about Duke Jacob’s participation in the colonial companies of these countries. As Mattiesen showed persuasively, Jacob began the negotiations on colonial affairs with these countries only in 1646–1647.

1645 – the first Courlandian colonial expedition to Guinea on the ship “Fortuna”. This journey, failed subsequently, began in late autumn of 1645. Mattiesen brings this information with references to reliable sources.

1647 – Duke Jacob’s negotiations with the Polish King Władysław IV (years of reign 1632–1648). This information is contained in the book of Polish journalist Marek Arpad Kowalski dedicated to the colonial ambitions of the Poles. This fantastic episode is not based on any sources, and was clearly planned by the author as evidence of the antiquity of the “Polish colonial history”, as well as the statement that Courland’s ruler conducted colonial negotiations also with the Polish King John II Casimir (years of reign 1648–1668) in 1651.

1649 and 1650 – a number of researchers considered only these dates mentioned in some primary sources as reliable time for the beginning of Courland’s colonial history.

It should be emphasised that most historians sought to combine uncritically all the versions mentioned above. This caused great confusion in the dating of the colonial history of the Duchy. In addition, this contradictory and unreliable information is actively relayed in numerous cases in non-specialized literature (especially in English) on various aspects of European colonialism in West Africa and the West Indies.

In the light of the foregoing considerations, year 1645 can be considered as the most plausible dating of the beginning of Duchy of Courland’s colonial policy. Duke Jacob’s participation in the triangular trade as well as sending his first colonial expedition to Guinea can be discovered within this year. In turn, Courlandian settlements at the mouth of the Gambia River and on the Island of Tobago were established no earlier than in 1651.
and 1654, accordingly. These approaches are supported by reliable primary sources, and also are consistent with the events in the history of European colonialism as well as with the internal logic and chronology of the economic and political development of the Duchy of Courland.

**UPPER CHRONOLOGICAL BOUNDARY**

The criterion for its distinguishing is the last traces of Courlandian colonial activity. In this respect, things are even worse than with the lower chronological boundary. The “diplomatic” period of Courland’s colonial history in the 18th century was overall considered as insignificant, and the rare sources on this topic found by historians have been therefore studied superficially and uncritically. In historiography, there is a common view that Courland’s colonial policy continued until the end of the Duchy in 1795 in the form of vain claims to Tobago as well as in the form of appointment of governors there. 64 This belief dates back to the corresponding reports from the manuscript of the Courlandian Baron Ewald von Klopman (1734–1804). This “book” is dedicated to the Courlandian episode in the history of Tobago and was written initially in 1795–1796 (its copy also appeared in 1798).

There are at least three copies of this manuscript (made by the author himself), the contents of which are somewhat different. Up to now, only the version of this work from Riga was known to the researchers. It was published with some inaccuracies by the Dutch historian J. Kleyntjens in 1949. 65 We can read there that Kettlers’ successors on the Courlandian throne (Maurice de Saxe (1696–1750) and Ernst Johann von Biron (1690–1772)) laid claim to Tobago. Later researchers extended the history of Courland’s colonialism to the last Duke Peter von Biron (1724–1800). 66
According to Klopmann, Maurice de Saxe who had a residence in the Duchy in 1726–1727 as an “eventual successor” of the ducal throne67 (but Klopmann mentions him as a “Duke of Courland and Semigallia”68) conceived to send the colonists to this island, which he regarded as the property of the Courlandian throne, in order to establish new plantations there. He even appointed a governor there – his supporter, the Courlandian nobleman Heinrich Christian von den Brincken (1648–1729). Moreover, Maurice de Saxe hired several people who were to accompany the new governor to Tobago. However, despite the support expected from the kings of Poland and France, nothing out of this undertaking was implemented since the “unexpected Duke” was dethroned by the Russians.69 This episode is not supported by any other sources.

Ernst Johann von Biron ruled the Duchy of Courland in 1737–1740 and 1763–1769. According to Klopmann, becoming a Duke he acquired “allodial title” to Tobago from the surviving representatives of the Kettler family for a large sum of money. After that, he appointed him, Baron von Klopmann70 who was allegedly Ernst Johann von Biron’s Kammerjunker, ambassador to London with the aim of obtaining protection from the English monarch and renewing the commercial and colonial treaty concluded between Duke Jacob and King Charles II in 1664. Six years after Klopmann’s stay in London, the British Ambassador in Russia, Sir Charles Hanbury Williams (1708–1759), who obviously sympathised with the Courlandian nobleman, attempted to help him in his task execution by intercession before the British monarch. However, Ernst Johann von Biron’s death interrupted the implementation of that plan.71

The reliability of the latter fragment is doubtful due to a number of its significant chronological and logical contradictions. Klopmann was born in 1734.72 Therefore, he could go to England by Ernst Johann von Biron’s order only during the second period of reign of the latter, i.e. between 1763 and 1769.
However, Williams mentioned in the work of the Courlandian Baron actually was in Russia as the English Ambassador in 1755–1757, whereupon he died in England in 1759 suffering from insanity.\textsuperscript{73} In turn, Ernst Johann von Biron was at the time in exile, and he clearly could not care about the restitution of Tobago especially under the conditions when even his Courlandian possessions were confiscated.\textsuperscript{74} From Klopmann’s autobiography, we can find out that he was really familiar with Williams. This work describes in some detail their joint journey to the United Kingdom through Hamburg during which Klopmann was even appointed personal secretary of the British Ambassador and allowed to conduct his private correspondence.\textsuperscript{75} The information given by the Baron suggests that he was in the company of Williams who suffered from insanity attacks and received resignation due to illness from the autumn of 1757 until the summer of 1758.\textsuperscript{76} But directly from the autobiography written by Klopmann at the age of 66–67\textsuperscript{77} and characterised by chronological inconsistency, we can understand that their acquaintance occurred after 1662 when the former English Ambassador was dead already for three years. Apparently, the elderly Baron could get confused with the events having occurred more than forty years before considering that he left Williams before his death and could not have known its date. At the same time, Klopmann reports that Ernst Johann von Biron first contacted him immediately after his return to the ducal throne in 1763, i.e. four years after Williams’ death, and invited him to serve as his son Peter’s Kammerjunker – not Ernst Johann’s himself as it is clear from Klopmann’s manuscript on the history of Tobago.\textsuperscript{78} Thus, the Courlandian Baron began to serve the House of Biron when the said British Ambassador in Russia in no way could help to implement the hypothetical Ernst Johann’s colonial plan. After 1763, Klopmann never visited England again.\textsuperscript{79} In addition, according to his autobiography, from 1654 to 1660, after completing his studies in Jena, he travelled...
through Europe spending two years in London, not six as stated in his manuscript on Tobago. In the end, the said autobiography does not mention this island and Klopmann’s embassy to England concerning its restitution.

At the moment, doubtful information about the colonial efforts of Kettlers’ successors on the Courlandian throne is found only in Klopmann’s manuscript. As noted above, there are three copies of this “book”. We have already considered the content of one of them, the most popular that is stored in Riga. We were able to discover two more versions of this text in the Russian State Archive of Early Acts in Moscow. They can tell us about Klopmann’s motives for preparing his manuscript. The first “Moscow copy” of this work is dated by the author himself in Mitau (present-day Jelgava, former capital city of the Duchy of Courland) on 15 March 1796 and addressed to the Russian Empress Catherine II (years of reign 1762–1796). In this version, there are no mentions of Klopmann who, according to the manuscript from Riga, was sent to London in connection with Tobago issue by Ernst Johann von Biron. At the same time, it specifies that the latter desired to ask for patronage over this island King George III of the United Kingdom (years of reign 1760–1820). This circumstance clearly contradicts the information contained in the manuscript from Riga about the intercession of Ambassador Williams who died, as was said above, in 1759. Eventually, Klopmann brings his story to Peter von Biron (years of reign 1769–1795): at the end of his rule, Courland became part of the Russian Empire (28 March 1795). Further, in the first “Moscow copy” of his work, Klopmann states as follows (without any mention of the “colonial activity” of the last Duke of Courland): “[...] [the Russian Crown. – O. Ye.] received his (Peter von Biron’s. – O. Ye.] Courlandian possessions, including the Island of Tobago, by right of inheritance, and as a result of an agreement between his father [Ernst Johann von Biron. – O. Ye.] and the heirs of the Ducal House of Kettler to whom
they doubtless belonged. Based on these considerations and the immutable natural and international laws, it would be very easy to prove the justness and indisputability of the sovereign rights of Your Imperial Majesty in Russia to the said Island of Tobago which was legitimately acquired [by the Russian Empress. – O. Ye.] as an allod of the last Duke of Courland in consequence of his solemn resignation. Deign, Catherine II, legislator and benefactor of millions of people in Your vast Empire, to turn Your gaze one day upon the Island of Tobago, this ancient herit-
age of the Dukes of Courland!".84 The second “Moscow copy” of the Klopmann’s manuscript has similar content. It is dated 1 January 1798 in Mitau and addressed to the Russian Emperor Paul I (years of reign 1796–1801).85 It is worth to note the author’s remark that he sent a copy of his manuscript to Emperor at the own request of the latter.86 Apparently, the Russian rulers were really interested in laying claim to the former overseas possession of the House of Kettler. However, it has not been done due to natural reasons (death of Catherine II eight months later after sending of Klopmann’s work to her, murder of Paul I in 1801, death of the Courlandian Baron in early 180487).

Information of the “Moscow copies” of Klopmann’s manuscript makes it possible to state confidently that his “book” did not pursue the apologetic goal of justifying the claims of the Dukes from the House of Biron to that distant Caribbean island (for example, Mattiesen and Kleyntjens believed that Klopmann actually travelled to London in connection with Tobago issue by the order of Ernst Johann von Biron88). This work was written less than a year after the incorporation of the Duchy into the Russian Empire (it can be understood from the last date in the “book” itself – 28 March 1795, and from the date of sending the manuscript to Catherine II – 15 March 1796). Thus, describing the persistent struggle of the Dukes – right up to the last of them, Peter von Biron – for Tobago as their “legitimate allod” Klopmann intended to express his loyalty to the new masters of
his homeland in person of the Russian emperors who could allegedly acquire that island in addition to the lands of Courland itself.

Klopmann had open access to the ducal archives at that time. This to a large extent contributed to the writing of his research. He probably found there documents relating to the history of Courlandian colonisation of Tobago.\(^89\) However, this indomitable adventurer (Klopmann’s autobiography shows clearly such inclinations in his character) driven by personal ambitions was not afraid to “transform” historical reality. Bringing his story to Kettlers’ successors on the ducal throne (Maurice de Saxe and Ernst Johann von Biron) he decided to invent the circumstances of their colonial efforts due to the absence of the authentic sources which could prove that. To this end, Klopmann used the most famous persons and facts: Brincken, the most influential supporter of Maurice de Saxe in Courland, was made by Klopmann “governor” on Tobago; Klopmann “appointed” himself to the position of Ernst Johann von Biron’s ambassador to London in order to solve the problem with the island. The Courlandian Baron lived in England for a while, served faithfully the House of Biron for more than 30 years, and was familiar to the British Ambassador Williams. That’s why he seemed to be the most suitable person for such a role. Moreover, Ernst Johann von Biron, as Klopmann believed, could purchase the rights to Tobago from the heirs of the Kettler dynasty: he began to implement a similar procedure in regard to the possessions of the former Dukes in Courland itself even before his accession to the ducal throne.\(^90\) In the end, by Courlandian Baron’s good will the Russian emperors became the “legitimate heirs” of Tobago after the last Duke Peter von Biron.

The only reliable document on the Tobago issue from the period of Ernst Johann von Biron’s rule contains a request of the late Captain Poyntz’s heirs for certified copies of the documents related to the treaty of 1681 between Poyntz and Duke Jacob
which were stored then in the ducal archives in Mitau. The petitioners represented by the London merchant Atkins feared that the English Crown could provide some third party, not them, with 120,000 acres on Tobago received by their ancestor from Jacob in 1681. 91 On 14 November 1763, this petition was submitted to Ernst Johann von Biron by the merchant from Riga Friedrich Thiringk. It was exactly the year when the United Kingdom, according to the Treaty of Paris between the participants of the Seven Years’ War (1756–1763), became the “official” proprietor of Tobago. 92 Most likely, this circumstance motivated the representatives of the Poyntz family to restore their “rights” to lands on that island.

However, despite the contradictions mentioned above, the authors of special studies on the Courland’s colonialism trusted Klopmann completely. Following him, they included absolutely all of the Courland’s rulers, beginning with Jacob Kettler and ending with Peter von Biron, in that episode of history of the Duchy. This led to the emergence of a historiographical myth, according to which the colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland was pursued until its incorporation into the Russian Empire in 1795. At the same time, the last traces of Courlandian “colonial activity” dates back to 1731. It is about the attempts of the Duke-in-exile Ferdinand Kettler (1655–1737) to sell Tobago to his nephew Frederick I of Sweden (years of reign 1720–1751). 93 The last document on this issue is dated 3 October 1731. This is the response letter of Frederick I to his uncle in which he promised to ponder the proposal about Tobago. However, we could not find any further action on this matter.

After the death of Ferdinand, the last representative of the male branch of the Kettler family, in 1737, the British received legal basis to deny every claim to Tobago that relied on “Courland’s rights” to this island. They recognised only the House of Kettler, not the Duchy of Courland or its throne as the former proprietor of Tobago. The opinion of the English writer John
Fowler from his book about the island of 1774 could be considered as characteristic for that time: “The male line of the house of Kettler, dukes of Courland, becoming extinct in 1737, on the demise of Ferdinand, son to James aforesaid, the fief of this island of course reverted to the crown of Great Britain.” This circumstance clearly characterises the specificity of the colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland as a whole. It was the private initiative of Duke Jacob Kettler and his family heirs. Thus, after the extinction of the male branch of the ducal House of Kettler, the history of the colonial policy of the Duchy of Courland could not continue in any case.

CONCLUSIONS

The history of the Duchy of Courland’s colonial policy, as far as the reliable sources allow us to define, can be dated 1645–1731. Its following periodization seems the most reasonable from the point of view of the internal content of this phenomenon:

July 1645 – October 1651 / May 1654. The efforts of Duke Jacob to establish overseas settlements that appeared at the mouth of the Gambia River and on the Island of Tobago accordingly.

October 1651 / May 1654 – December 1659. Active period of exploitation of the overseas possessions by Jacob Kettler until his captivity by Swedes on 9 November 1658. Duke was imprisoned until the Treaty of Oliva of 3 May 1660. By the end of 1659, his colonies were captured by the Dutch (Courlandian fort on Tobago was taken by them on 11 December 1659). Subsequently, Tobago changed its masters repeatedly until the beginning of the 19th century when the island finally came under the control of the British Crown in consequence of the Napoleonic wars. The fort on the St. Andrew’s Island in Gambia was occupied by the English in March 1661 after the return of the Courlanders there in June 1660 for a short time.
May 1660 – January 1698. The unsuccessful attempts of Duke Jacob and his son Friedrich Casimir (years of reign 1682–1698, died 22 January 1698) to restore their colonies at the mouth of the Gambia River and on the Island of Tobago, and since the conclusion of the Anglo-Courlandian agreement on 17 November 1664 only on Tobago.

March 1698 – October 1731. Diplomatic struggle over Tobago as a part of “Courlandian inheritance”. It is about the attempts of the House of Kettler’s representatives to lease the island to English merchants, to receive some monetary compensation for it from the British government, or to sell it to Swedish and even Russian monarchs. On 28 March 1698, the Supreme Council of the Duchy issued instructions to Blomberg regarding the defence of Courland’s rights to Tobago in England. 3 October 1731 is the date for the aforementioned letter of Frederick I of Sweden to Ferdinand Kettler about the offer to purchase Tobago – this is the last document known to us from the history of the Duchy of Courland’s colonial policy.

In our opinion, the possessions of the House of Kettler in Norway were also part of its “colonial empire”. This includes the harbour on the Island of Flekkerøy which served as a base for Courlandian ships on their transatlantic way since 1651 until the loss of Jacob’s settlements in Gambia and on Tobago, and iron mines on the territory of the current municipality of Eidsvoll near Oslo rented from the Danish crown from 1662 to 1688.

At the same time, the abovementioned mythological eclecticism continues to dominate in the public historical literature as well as in the media. With their help, these distorted views are transmitted to the general public.
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KURZEMES HERCOGISTES KOLONIĀLĀS POLITIKAS VĒSTURES DATĒJUMI

Oļegs Jevstratjevs

Mg. hist., Republikāniskais augstākās izglītības institūts (Minska, Baltkrievija), Baltkrievijas vēstures un kultūras mantojuma katedras vecākais psniedzējs.

Zinātniskās intereses: Baltijas reģiona vēsture agrīnajos jaunajos laikos, Kurzemes hercogistes vēsture, Eiropas koloniālisma vēsture.

Rakstā tiek analizētas historiogrāfijā sastopamās Kurzemes hercogistes koloniālās politikas periodizācijas versijas un to rašanās iemesli. Autors secina, ka lielākajai daļai pieņēmumu par Kurzemes hercogistes koloniālās politikas sākumā un beigu datējumu pašlaik pašlaik apzinātas vēstures avotos nav atrodams pamatojums. Tieši šie pieņēmumi (versijas) Kurzemes hercogistes koloniālās politikai veltītajos vēstures pētījumos un populārzinātniskajā literatūrā ir veicinājuši šīs tematikas mitoloģizāciju.

Atslēgas vārdi: Kurzemes hercogiste, koloniālā politika, datējums.

Kopsavilkums


Balsoties uz vēstures avotiem, var secināt ka Kurzemes hercogistes koloniālās politikas vēsture datējama ar laika posmu no 1645. līdz 1731. gadam. Visas citas hipotēzes ir tikai vēlākā perioda fikcija.